<u>Charles J. Vörösmarty</u>^{1,2}, Ellen M. Douglas¹, Pamela A. Green¹, and Carmen Revenga³

Geospatial Indicators of Emerging Water Stress: An Application to Africa

AMBIO

Submitted April 14, 2003 Revised April 19, 2004

Corresponding author:		
Charles J. Vörösmarty	TEL: (603) 862-0850	
Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans, and Space	FAX:	-0188
University of New Hampshire		
Durham, NH 03824 (USA)		

¹ Water Systems Analysis Group, Institute for the Study of Earth, Oceans and Space, University of New Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire, USA, email: charles.vorosmarty@unh.edu

² Earth Sciences Department, University of New Hampshire, Durham, New Hampshire, USA

³ World Resources Institute, Information Program, Washington, DC, USA.

ABSTRACT

This study demonstrates the use of globally-available Earth system science data sets for water assessment in otherwise information-poor regions of the world. Geospatial analysis at 8km resolution shows that 30% of Africans have access to but limited and highly variable renewable freshwater resources. Where available, river corridor flow is critical in augmenting local runoff, reducing impacts of climate variability, and improving access to freshwater. A significant fraction of cropland resides in Africa's driest regions, with 39% of the irrigation non-sustainable. Chronic water stress is high for 25% of the population with an additional 13% experiencing drought-related stress once each generation. Water stress for the vast majority of Africans typically remains low, reflecting in part poor delivery infrastructure and services. Modest increases in water use could reduce constraints on economic development, pollution, and challenges to human health. Developing explicit geospatial indicators that link biogeophysical, socioeconomic, and engineering perspectives constitutes an important next step in global water assessment.

INTRODUCTION

While concerns mount over future climate change and its impact on freshwater resources (*witness* IPCC), recent studies identify water stress as a major societal challenge that is already upon us. This conclusion can be reached from documentary evidence (1, 2), country and regional-scale synthesis (3), or relatively fine-grained geographical analysis (4-6). It appears inevitable that during the first half of this century water shortages will be among the world's most pressing problems, linking issues as diverse as food security, international diplomacy, poverty alleviation, public health, energy production, ecosystem management and preservation of biodiversity (7).

Given humankind's dependence on freshwater, one would expect the information needed to wisely manage this important resource to be widely and readily available. Surprisingly, water data to support global-scale assessments are in severe decline -- be it the basic hydrographic monitoring to estimate sustainable water supplies (8), information on water infrastructure and operations (9), or access to water (6). Water data is ambiguous and sometimes highly politicized, as with the statistics on irrigation (9, 10). Fulfilling ambitious development goals will be impossible without high quality quantitative information upon which to monitor the resource base and to assess progress.

Earth systems science (ESS) data, from modeling experiments, weather prediction, remote sensing, and GIS constitute an important, alternative source of information. These data are contiguous and political-boundary free, produced operationally using well-documented protocols, available often at high resolutions, and thus ideally suited to assembling time series of geo-located environmental change. For many parts of the world, they provide the only practical means by which to comprehensively monitor the changing state of inland waters, and in many

cases can better articulate water stress than traditional approaches (10). Country-level tabulations of water scarcity, until recently the mainstay of global assessments (e.g. 3), generate serious underestimates due to spatial averaging of a highly complex interplay between water supply and use expressed over much smaller domains. Global analysis at 50 km resolution, for example, tripled to nearly 2B earlier national-level estimates of world population exposed to high water stress (4). New digital river networks (e.g. 11) permit us to map renewable water supply as a function of locally-derived runoff plus remote runoff transported horizontally through river corridors as discharge. An upstream-downstream perspective can thus be articulated, especially important when considering needs of competing stakeholders.

A central goal of this paper is to demonstrate the use of ESS data in information-poor parts of the world. Our focus will be on Africa. The continent, while emblematic of other large and otherwise poorly documented parts of the developing world, is in particular jeopardy with respect to its knowledge base on water. Since 1990, there has been a 90% reduction in routine reporting of African river discharge (an important source of water supply data) to relevant international agencies such as the WMO Global Runoff Data Center (8). Despite some state-of-the-art regional studies (12-14), we have little concrete information upon which to systematically and routinely monitor the condition of Africa's water resources as a whole.

This shortage of information is especially tragic given the continent's enormous environmental and social challenges. Extensive, persistent droughts in the 1970s and 1980s focused attention on Africa's water plight (15) and the continent represents a flashpoint for future water scarcity as well (7). It has a large and rapidly-growing population, enormous expanses of dry land, extensive poverty, lack of investment in water infrastructure, and chronic health problems. Promoting sustainable development in Africa was a key commitment of the

World Summit on Sustainable Development (WSSD) in Johannesburg and water figures prominently in the WEHAB (Water, Energy, Health, Agriculture, Biodiversity) initiative (16).

We begin this paper with a brief summary of water indicators. We describe and then apply a methodology to estimate the scope of water scarcity over the entire African continent. We will demonstrate how *globally-available*, geo-referenced data sets can also be used to articulate water stress at much finer spatial scales, those at which policy formulation and management are often executed. We therefore go on to interpret how such quantitative information could be used to assess major water security issues. Throughout, we will emphasize the importance of a topological perspective unites local water supplies, horizontal transport of runoff through river corridors, and end-users.

A BRIEF OVERVIEW OF WATER SCARCITY INDICATORS

Environmental indicators emerged from heightened environmental awareness in the US and Europe during the 1970s (17). First used to measure the state of the environment or effectiveness of regulations and programs, they more recently have been applied proactively to decision-support, establishing policy objectives and assessing future impacts (2). Water indicators to assess human well-being have been developed as the limits of traditional indicators (i.e. income or GDP) became apparent (2, 18).

Among the first to call attention to global water scarcity were Falkenmark and Lindh (19), who presented the Water Stress Index (people per 10^6 cubic meters) as a means of differentiating between climate- and human-induced water scarcity. A value of 1,700 m³ per capita per year (20) is widely accepted as a threshold below which varying degrees of human-induced water stress are likely to occur. Gleick (2, 21) quantified basic human water requirements (BWR) as 50 L⁻¹ capita⁻¹ day⁻¹ (excluding food production). Gleick (22) and Raskin (23) aggregated water use, resource reliability and socioeconomic coping capacity into indices of regional water vulnerability. Salemeh (24) redefined the Water Poverty Index (WPI; originally the ratio of available resource to water required for BWR and food production) to account for food productivity differences in arid and semi-arid environments and wastewater recycling. As an alternative to the conventional composite index, Sullivan (18) presented a matrix approach to WPI, incorporating ecosystem condition, community well-being, human health and economic welfare.

While serving as important devices to raise awareness of water issues, the indicators noted above often reflect country or regional-scale statistics, and thus may greatly understate the full scope of the problem (4,25). Application of new capabilities to map sub-national heterogeneities in climate, population density and water use, with much higher precision than previously possible, we see as the next logical step in the evolution of water indicators.

METHODOLOGY

Data Sets and Modeling

Outputs from a Water Balance and Transport Model (WBM/WTM) were used to determine the spatial distribution of renewable water supply, expressed as the sum of local runoff and river corridor discharge. The model version used here shows $<10 \text{ mm yr}^{-1}$ bias in runoff (26, 27), but estimates were constrained wherever possible by observed hydrographic data (317 sites, 86% of actively discharging African land mass). Monthly atmospheric forcings from 1960-95 were from (28). Estimates of domestic and industrial water demands (4, 29) were apportioned by urban/rural population densities. Agricultural withdrawals were based on African water statistics (Jippe Hoogeveen, FAO/AGL, Rome Italy) at the sub-basin level, and a mapping of irrigationequipped lands (30). All supply and demand estimates were resampled as required and georegistered to a 6' grid and river network (STN-06), updated from a previous flow topology (11) using a network rescaling algorithm that processed 1-km digital streamlines (31). STN-06 basin boundaries were compared to a hand-corrected database provided by FAO. When required, monthly discharges were computed from modeled runoff and routed downstream using a uniform channel velocity of 0.5 m sec⁻¹.

Water scarcity was evaluated, in part, by computing the Climatic Moisture Index (CMI) (32), the ratio of annual precipitation (*P*) to annual potential evapotranspiration, (*PET*). Specifically CMI = (P / PET) - 1 when P < PET and CMI = 1 - (PET / P) when $P \ge PET$. The CMI ranges from -1 to +1, with wet climates showing positive values, dry climates negative. *PET* was estimated using a physically based function (33). We grouped CMI into major climate categories following Koppen. The coefficient of variation (CV) computed for all variables is the ratio of the standard deviation to the mean over the time series analyzed.

Topology-Based Indicators of Water Availability, Use, and Scarcity

Calculations of key indicators are shown in **Figure 1**. Water supply in each grid cell (*n*) has two sources: locally-generated discharge (Q_{Ln}) and river corridor discharge (Q_{Cn}), which enters from upstream cells. Q_{Ln} is the product of runoff (R_n) and cell area (A_n). Q_{Cn} accumulates Q_{Ln} in a downstream direction along the STN-06 digital nertwork. Cells with mean upstream runoff <3 mm yr⁻¹ were considered inactive or non-perennially discharging (11).

Water use is represented by local demand (DIA_n), the sum of domestic, industrial and agricultural water withdrawals. Dividing DIA_n by Q_{Cn} yields an *index of local relative water use*.

A high degree of stress is indicated when the relative water use index is > 0.4 or 40% (34). DIA_n summed in a downstream direction (in a similar manner as Q_{Cn}) and divided by Q_{Cn} is called the *water reuse index* and represents the extent to which runoff is recycled or reused as it accumulates and flows toward the basin mouth. The *water reuse index* typically increases in a downstream direction, indicating reuse and recycling of river corridor water. This index can, however, decrease when mainstream flow is diluted by more pristine (less-recycled) tributary waters.

THE CHARACTER OF AFRICAN WATER SCARCITY

Climatic Moisture: Spatial Characteristics and Variability

The CMI is an aggregate measure of potential water availability imposed solely by climate (**Fig. 2a**). Most of Africa's continental area, 82%, is arid and semi-arid (**Fig. 2a**, *inset*), with evaporative demands exceeding rainfall over the bulk of the landmass. The corresponding global total is 54%. **Table 1** compares the distribution of land area by CMI class for Africa and for the entire globe. The median CMI value globally ranges from -0.10 to -0.25 while for Africa it is below -0.75. Africa is thus a dry and relatively water-scarce continent.

Africa displays a complex pattern of interannual variability in CMI, accentuated near the boundaries of the major climate zones (**Fig. 2b**). While large areas in the wettest (central Congo basin) and driest (Sahara and southwest Africa) regions display relatively low variability, much sharper gradients are apparent in the transition zones between the humid tropics and arid regions. The southern flank of the Sahel is a good example, with high variability moving across the boundary between humid to dry conditions, in some cases spanning a distance of only 100-250

km. Mountain effects also invoke sharp gradients in precipitation and CMI, as for the Katanga and Rift Valley regions in central eastern Africa, the Ethiopian Highlands, and in Madagascar.

River Corridor Discharge and Its Variability

While climate and its variation are arguably critical for determining the reliability of rainfed agriculture and local water supplies, a more complete picture must consider how river corridors focus spatially-distributed runoff into discharge Q_c (**Fig. 2c**). Dry areas with little or no local water can thus have access to a potentially abundant renewable resource generated far upstream and delivered through large rivers, floodplains and deltas. This "reconditioning" of local runoff (**Table 2**) shows the great benefit such corridor flow conveys to the driest parts of the continent. In regions with CMI < -0.6, we see nearly a doubling of locally-derived runoff (90 km³ yr⁻¹) and hence available water resource conveyed as river corridor flow. The importance of river corridors by this calculation is actually understated, as the associated flows include consumptive losses in heavily used rivers.

Much of the actively discharging land mass is composed of low-order streams subject to the character of local climate (**Fig. 2c**). Paradoxically, many of the dry regions of Africa that show low variability in climate (**Fig. 2b**) are those that bear high variations in local Q_c due to episodic runoff (**Fig. 2d**). Nevertheless, these lands ultimately generate water resources bearing low variability, when their local runoff is routed through river corridors. This buffering capacity is especially apparent where rivers are present within the highly variable transition zones. For example, the Niger and the upper reaches of the Nile have low CV while the surrounding areas show moderate to high variations. A notable exception is the Orange River, which rises in a zone of high interannual variability and then flows through an arid zone.

Even in otherwise water abundant areas, seasonality (intra-annual variability) can severely limit water supply. Similar to results describing inter-annual variability, we find the seasonality of local runoff to be generally high relative to river corridor flows (**Fig. 2e**). For Africa, the median max:min local runoff ratio is 113:1 while median max:min Q_c is 80:1. After incorporating the effects of reservoirs (i.e. constraining Q_c by discharge records), the median max:min Q_c ratio for Africa drops to 71:1. Even with impoundments, intra-annual variability of discharge in Africa is generally high and the transition zones between wet and dry climates show the greatest intra-annual fluctuation, with typical max:min Q_c ratios >100:1. Only in humid tropical areas and along large, highly regulated rivers (i.e., the Nile and Orange Rivers) is the seasonal variability low (max:min $Q_c < 5$).

Distribution of Population with Respect to Climate and Water Supply

Associated with each map in **Figure 2** are insets illustrating the population exposed to different levels of CMI and discharge. There are important distinctions between means and variability. Compared to a global proportion of 52%, approximately 75% of all Africans live in the arid and semi-arid regions of the continent (mean CMI < 0) (**Fig. 2a**). Twenty percent of all Africans live in areas that experience high interannual climatic variability as expressed by a CV of CMI > 0.75 (**Fig. 2b**). They are generally located in the transition zones between humid and arid regions that cover a relatively small proportion (10%) of the continental area. Populations in the humid zone can also be exposed to high CMI variability. Nonetheless, the majority of both land mass (75%) and population (59%) are located in areas of low variability (CMI < 0.25) (**Fig. 2b**). The population of Africa is thus distributed in a manner that generally reduces overall

exposure to climate extremes. As we will see, this does not necessarily translate into low variability in water supply, nor does it make a statement about seasonal shortages.

With regard to water resources, more than 60% of Africans live with mean locally-generated runoff of approximately 300 mm yr⁻¹ or less and about 40% live with less than 100 mm yr⁻¹. We assumed that people living within a grid cell had access only to the water within that grid cell (a maximum distance of 8 km). In this context, only about 10% of Africans have access to abundant river corridor discharge (defined here as $Q > 10 \text{ km}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$), indicating that the vast majority of the population must rely on local runoff in small streams and shallow groundwater, as well as deep groundwater stores, to meet their water needs (**Fig. 2c**). About 25% of the population is exposed to intermediate conditions (0.1 to 10 km³ yr⁻¹) and half lives in association with limited river corridor flow (< 0.1 km³ yr⁻¹), the latter with moderate-to-high interannual variability in discharge (**Fig. 2d**). Thirty percent of Africans are exposed to both limited quantities of discharge and high interannual variability (CV > 0.75). The inset associated with **Figure 2e** demonstrates that normal patterns of seasonality add to this stress. Nearly 90% of the African population lives with a max:min Q_e flows ratios in excess of 5:1, and slightly under half with ratios >50:1.

Distribution of Water Demands with Respect to Climate and Water Supply

We explore briefly the capacity of African climate and water systems to provide adequate renewable supplies in the face of contemporary domestic, industrial and agricultural demands. In general, irrigation water use in Africa is an order of magnitude higher than domestic and industrial demands combined and thus defines aggregate use for the continent. Much of Africa's agricultural capacity is distributed across dry regions, with 75% of its cropland located in areas with CMI < 0 (**Table 2**). Irrigation water demands increase more or less exponentially with a decreasing CMI, reflecting the absolute requirement for irrigation in arid and semi-arid zones, and higher water use in these drylands relative to more humid environments. In the driest cropped areas (CMI \leq -0.8) 97% of agricultural area is irrigated. Across these areas irrigation withdrawals (43 km³ yr⁻¹) exceed locally generated runoff (5 km³ yr⁻¹) by almost an order of magnitude, necessitating use of river corridor flows or aquifer mining. We compute that 39% of irrigation withdrawals in areas with CMI \leq -0.8 are from non-sustainable sources. The remaining 61% is from corridor flows that have been progressively depleted, as in the lower Nile, which loses much of its available water resource from natural and human consumption (35). This result further highlights the importance of river corridor flow in supporting dryland agriculture. At the same time, irrigation in such regions may seriously compromise the integrity of an important renewable resource upon which both human society and aquatic ecosystems depend (45, 46).

A Climatology of African Water Stress

Water stress is determined here using the index of water use relative to renewable supply (DIA/Q) (Fig. 1). By this measure, 25% of the contemporary African population experiences high water stress with DIA/Q > 0.4 (Table 3). Despite the overwhelmingly dry conditions documented above, surprisingly, most of the African population (69%) lives on average under conditions of relative water abundance and another 6% under intermediate levels of water stress. In fact, the relative distribution of population across different levels of stress for Africa is not substantially different than for the rest of the world. Aggregate water stress is much less than

expected, given the "natural" water demands placed on Africa arising from its position as one of the driest continents.

The modest, overall level of stress does not necessarily reflect an absence of water problems for Africa. Our results are conservative insofar as they do not account for other equally important factors, like poor access to clean drinking water and sanitation, which reduce the effective quantity of freshwater available for human use. In fact, even though considerable improvement in access occurred during the 1990s, only 62% of African population had access to improved water supply in 2000, giving it the lowest water supply coverage of any region in the world (36). The inaccessibility is much worse in rural areas, where coverage is only 47%, compared to 85% in urban areas. Poor water infrastructure and delivery systems translate into water pollution and public health problems that entrench existing limitations to economic and social development (18).

Water availability, demand and potential stress also vary by season. As shown in **Figure 2e**, the majority of Africans are exposed to moderate to seasonal hydrologic variability. We evaluated the degree of seasonal water stress (DIA/Q > 0.4) computed on a monthly basis. Domestic and industrial demands were assumed to remain constant throughout the year while agricultural use (irrigation) varied temporally and proportionally based on the ratio of monthly average PET to average annual PET. The number of months in which the monthly version of the relative stress index exceeded the threshold of 0.4 was recorded. A bifurcated pattern of persistent water stress became apparent, with 370M people (53% of the population) showing no apparent monthly water stress and 170M (25%) exceeding the threshold for 10 or more months per year. A mapping of seasonal variability (not shown) corroborates the geographic patterns of stress described in previous sections. People living in transition zones (i.e. the Sahel) and on the

fringes of African deserts suffer the most seasonal water stress. In contrast, large river corridors, even in the driest regions, demonstrate a stabilizing effect on seasonal flows. River regulation further increases the reliability of freshwater sources throughout the year and reduces apparent levels of both annual and seasonal stress.

Climate Variability and Water Stress

Annual and seasonal means give us one important view of water stress. But, a more complete geography of water stress must necessarily consider the inherent variability of the water cycle and its possible changes over time. Of primary concern is a potential acceleration of the hydrologic cycle associated with greenhouse warming, leading to greater frequency and intensity of extreme events like floods and drought (37). While the future remains highly uncertain, initial analysis indicates minor climate-borne consequences on regional water resources (4, 25) relative to much larger impacts from population growth and economic development.

As a contribution to one component of this dialogue and to provide a benchmark against which future change can be assessed, we present an analysis of historical patterns of drought across Africa and its impact on water stress. **Figure 3** shows population densities (in thousands per grid cell) that fall either above or below the 0.4 DIA/Q threshold of severe water stress. The map expresses the 30-year low flow condition (which for this analysis, we equate with the 30-year drought). It is easy to see large populations experiencing high levels of water scarcity in northern Africa, the Sahel, the horn of Africa and southern Africa. However, it is noteworthy that even in the wet tropics (e.g. northern shore of Lake Victoria) there is evidence of population and development pressure on water supplies, with many of the grid cells reflecting rapidly urbanizing populations.

The difference between the mean (2-year recurrence) and 30-year low flow conditions is substantial (**Fig. 3**, **Table 3**). Total population living above the water stress threshold under mean annual conditions is 174 million, whereas under 30-year drought rises to 262M, a 50% increase. The corresponding estimates for the 10-year and 20-year droughts are 232 and 247M, respectively. Especially hard hit are the Sahel, southern Africa and eastern Africa where the population under water stress triples for the 30-year drought (**Fig. 3**, *inset*). Hence, in these regions substantial water stress is experienced, and becomes a significant environmental challenge at least once every generation.

Water Stress along River Corridors

Our discussion so far has focused on indicators relating local water withdrawals to renewable supply (i.e. local runoff plus river corridor flow). In reality, water use within a drainage basin often constitutes a recursive phenomenon, through which freshwater is used and reused many times during its passage to river mouth. Data from earlier analysis (4) demonstrated for many of the world's river systems that this water reuse can exceed, sometimes greatly, natural discharge. A similar set of calculations has been made for the major river corridors in Africa, with two examples shown in **Figure 4**. A"signature" for water resource exploitation emerges as a plot the water reuse index *versus* distance (**Fig. 1**), arising from basin-specific combinations of river discharge and water use progressing downstream. Each mainstem shows a unique trajectory, starting from zero at the headwaters. Rises indicate that the river encounters either a significant water use (i.e., municipal or irrigation withdrawals) or a tributary with relatively large withdrawals. In contrast, reductions in the index reflect the impact of runoff and tributary inputs

with less water use. With increases in water reuse we expect to see increasing competition for water, pollution and potential public health problems.

In the case of the Nile we see a progressive increase moving downstream, with initial rise associated at El Gezira irrigation works and Khartoum, followed by intensified use downstream of Aswan. At the mouth of the river, withdrawals equal one year of mean annual flow are tabulated. Relative water reuse more than doubles under 30-year low flow conditions. The Orange River reacts far more dramatically to climate variability. While the relative water reuse progresses downstream to a level <50% under mean annual flow, it rises an order of magnitude the under 30-year low flow conditions. Without the beneficiary effect of headwater flow from the wet tropics (as for the Nile), the nearly complete exposure of the Orange River to the arid/semi-arid climate zone makes it particularly sensitive to climate variability.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

While biogeophysical variables have been emphasized in this work on Africa, a far broader suite of measures is required to support ongoing global water assessment activities. The sequence of triennial World Water Development Reports (7), essentially a "report card" on the state of the world's freshwater, provides a practical example of the need for such indicators. In the 2002 WWDR we find no less than 150 current or proposed metrics. Harmonizing their spatial and temporal characteristics, ensuring consistency, and distilling these into meaningful aggregates that can be conveyed to the policy and management communities is critical to the success of this reporting process. Examples of potential policy applications using the topological approach developed here follow.

Human Health

The well-publicized realization (38) that over one billion people worldwide lack access to improved water supply and nearly 2.5 billion are still unserved by improved sanitation embodies the nexus of human health, poverty alleviation, and water resources. Ambitious Millennium Development Goals, namely, to halve by 2015 the proportion of people lacking adequate drinking water and sanitation, requires information on physical access to water and to services that provide adequate delivery and protection from water pollution (39). Reconciling differences in the spatial distribution of biogeophysical, socioeconomic, and health survey data is a required next step. Water also determines habitat for disease vectors and serves as the conveyance medium for pathogens like cholera. Articulating continental-sale patterns of these highly localized issues, potentially in near real-time, will provide a capacity to pinpoint emerging problem areas and to implement suitable interventions.

Water Conflict and Cooperation

At the heart of the debate on water as a source of conflict or cooperation (40) lies the control and use of temporally variable river flows. Georeferenced data on climate variability, discharge, upstream-downstream water demands, flow diversion and reservoir control can be combined with information on water governance and allocation agreements among riparian stakeholders to better articulate the nature of potential conflict and to design political instruments for cooperation. Defining the geospatial character of international water disputes requires a formal recognition of the topology of borders, specifically rivers running across or themselves forming political boundaries (41). Civil conflicts are also correlated with water cycle variability (42) and are imminently mappable.

Food Provision

A large and growing population will place increasing demands on both rainfed and irrigated agriculture in the coming decades. Globally, three-quarters of contemporary water use is for irrigation, with regions such as North Africa-Near East and South Asia that utilizing, respectively, from 35% to over 50% of their renewable water supplies for growing crops (7). A systematic picture of irrigation's role in current and future basin dynamics needs to account for the great diversity in how freshwater is secured (from aquifers, trapped precipitation, mainstem rivers), nomenclature problems, and difficulties in monitoring use (10). Efficiencies expressed as the ratio of withdrawal from source-to-crop consumption are < 40% throughout the developing world (7), suggesting opportunities for substantial improvement. Yet, our notions of field efficiency may seriously underestimate reuse when viewed at the basin scale (43). The topology of local precipitation, runoff, groundwater sources, river discharge, and downstream impacts on supply arising from flow reduction and elevated concentrations of agrochemicals can provide a more systematic framework for such analysis.

Water Engineering and Ecosystems

Emphasis in alleviating water scarcity has traditionally focused on expanding supply through dams, reservoirs, and interbasin transfers. Although this approach is being recognized as costly both in financial and environmental terms (9, 44), our analysis indicates that there will be mounting pressure to stabilize flows in Africa and other water-stressed parts of the world due to an unreliable resource base, defined by limited and highly variable runoff and corridor flows. Policies aimed at stabilizing upstream water resources have produced global signatures of river

fragmentation, habitat destruction, nutrient and sediment retention, and biodiversity changes that extend in many cases fully to the coastal zone (45, 46). While the benefits for development are clear, the decision to regulate river systems are not without their impacts and require a topological perspective to address tightly linked, upstream-downstream phenomena.

Natural Hazards

Water-related risks are among the most costly, with annual damages from catastrophic drought and floods totaling tens of billions USD (47). The human and economic costs of such events fall disproportionately on a developing world population with poor emergency preparedness and response capacity (7, 47). While droughts and floods are fundamentally weather phenomena, they express themselves through a topological hydrologic perspective. Though many parts of Africa show what is essentially a drought expressed as a seasonal or even perennial lack of rainfall, river corridor flows are critical in sustaining dry season water supplies over large portions of the continent. Floods, either through local flashfloods or major regional episodes, are determined by excess rainfall and the capacity of river networks to transport this additional water. The ability to globally map population and downstream infrastructure (48) over entire basins affords us the opportunity to identify areas of greatest vulnerability and to support better disaster prevention, warning and response. Analyzing the degree to which upstream deforestation and other land cover changes elevate hydrological risk downstream also requires a topological perspective (49).

CONCLUSIONS

We, as others, see Africa as a dry continent with pressing water problems, but arrived at this conclusion by analyzing high resolution, geospatial data sets, and a topology of digital river networks. From this perspective, we demonstrated that Africa is much more than simply dry. We showed, for example, that 75% of all Africans live in arid/semi-arid regions with relatively low climate variability, with exposure to restricted freshwater supplies but bearing great temporal variability. A significant fraction of cropland in Africa is dry, with much of the required irrigation non-sustainable. We demonstrated how large river discharges augment local runoff, reducing the impact of climate variability and improving access to water, but also identified these as the very locations of high reuse and potential abuse. Chronic water stress (mean use:supply) is high for 25% of the population and 40% experiences drought stress once each generation. Water stress for the vast majority of Africans, however, is typically low, reflecting its poor water delivery infrastructure. A well-engineered increase in use might thus be advantageous in mitigating water-related constraints on development, pollution, and chronic public health problems.

The work presented here demonstrated the importance of a geographical perspective using widely-available biogeophysical data sets. But water challenges facing Africa and other parts of the developing world also engender an array of social science and engineering issues. A more complete understanding of human-water interactions and the design of appropriate policy interventions to alleviate water stress thus require a broader interdisciplinary approach. Uniting these perspectives in a geographical framework is an important next challenge for the water sciences.

References and Notes

- Postel, S.L. 2000. Entering an era of water scarcity: the challenges ahead. *Ecological Applications* 10 (4): 941-948.
- 2 Gleick, P.H. 2002. *The World's Water: The Biennial Report on Freshwater Resources (2002-2003)*. Island Press, Washington DC.
- 3. Shiklomanov, I.A. and Rodda, J. 2003. *World Water Resources at the Beginning of the 21st Century*. UNESCO, Paris.
- Vörösmarty, C.J., Green, P., Salisbury, J. and Lammers, R. 2000. Global water resources: Vulnerability from climate change and population growth. *Science* 289, 284-288.
- 5. Alcamo, J. and Henrichs, T. 2002: Critical regions: A model-based estimation of world water resources sensitive to global changes. *Aquatic Sciences* 64: 352-362.
- Oki, T., Agata, Y., Kanae, S., Saruhashi, T., Yang, D. and Musiake, K. 2001. Global assessment of current water resources using total runoff integrating pathways. *Hydrological Sciences Journal* 46: 983-996.
- World Water Assessment Program. 2002. *Water for People, Water for Life*. UN World Water
 Development Report. WWAP, UNESCO, Paris.
- 8. IAHS *Ad Hoc* Group on Global Water Data Sets. 2001. Global water data: A Newly endangered species. *Eos, AGU Transactions* 82:5 54-58.
- Vörösmarty, C.J. and Sahagian, D. 2000. Anthropogenic disturbance of the terrestrial water cycle. *BioScience* 50: 753-65.
- 10. Vörösmarty, C.J. 2002. Global water assessment and potential contributions from earth systems science. *Aquatic Sciences* 64: 328-351

- Vörösmarty, C.J., Fekete, B.M., Meybeck, M., Lammers, R. 2000. A simulated topological network representing the global system of rivers at 30-minute spatial resolution (STN-30). *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 14, 599-621.
- 12. Schulze, R. 2004. Case Study 3: Modeling the impacts of land use and climate change on hydrological response in the mixed, underdeveloped/developed Mgeni catchment, Southern Africa. In: Kabat, P., Claussen, M., Dirmeyer, P.A., Gash, J.H.C., Bravo de Guenni, L., Meybeck, M., Pielke, Sr., R.A., Vörösmarty, C.J., Hutjes, R.W.A. and Lütkenmeier, S. (eds.), *Vegetation, Water, Humans and the Climate: A New Perspective on an Interactive System*. Springer, Heidelberg, Germany.
- Swap, B., Privette, J., King, M., Stqarr, D., Suttles, T., Annegarn, H., Scholes, M. and Justice, C.O. 1998. SAFARI 2000: A southern African regional science initiative. *EOS Earth Observer* 10(6): 25-28.
- International, multi-agency AMMA Programme (African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis); see: <u>http://medias.obs-mip.fr/amma/index.en.html</u>.
- Falkenmark, M. 1989. The massive water scarcity now threatening Africa why isn't it being addressed? *Ambio* 18 (2): 112-118.
- United Nations 2002. A Framework for Action on Water and Sanitation, WEHAB Working Group, World Summit on Sustainable Development, Johannesburg, South Africa.
- Rogers, P.P., Jalal, K.F., Lohani, B.N., Owens, G.M., Yu, C.C., Dufournand, C.M. and Bi, J. 1997. *Measuring Environmental Quality in Asia*, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.

- Sullivan, C.A. et al. 2003. The Water Poverty Index: Development and application at the community scale. *Natural Resources Forum* 27: 189-199.
- Falkenmark, M. and Lindh, G. 1974. *Impact of Water Resources on Population*, submitted by the Swedish Delegation to the UN World Population Conference, Bucharest.
- 20. Falkenmark, M. and Lindh, G. 1974. *Impact of water resources on population*, submitted by the Swedish Delegation to the UN World Population Conference, Bucharest.
- Gleick, P.H. 1996. Basic water requirements for human activities: meeting basic needs, *Water International* 21: 83-92.
- Gleick, P.H. 1996. Basic water requirements for human activities: meeting basic needs, *Water International* 21: 83-92.
- Raskin, P. 1997. Water Futures: Assessment of Long-range Patterns and Problems.
 Background document to the Comprehensive Assessment of the Freshwater Resources of the World report, Stockholm Environmental Institute, Stockholm, Sweden.
- Salemeh, E. 2000. Redefining the water poverty index, *Water International*, 25 (3): 469-473.
- Wallace, J.S. and Gregory, P.J. 2002. Water resources and their use in food production systems, In: Pahl-Wostl, C., Hoff, H., Meybeck, M. and Sorooshian, S. (eds.), *Vulnerability of Water Resources to Environmental Change: A Systems Approach.*Aquatic Sciences 64: 363-375.

- Vörösmarty, C.J., Federer, C.A. and Schloss, A. 1998. Potential evaporation functions compared on U.S. watersheds: Implications for global-scale water balance and terrestrial ecosystem modeling. *Journal of Hydrology* 207: 147-69.
- Fekete, B.M., Vörösmarty, C.J. and Grabs, W. 2002. High-resolution fields of global runoff combining observed river discharge and simulated water balances. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 16 (3): art. no. 1042.
- New, M., Hulme, M. and Jones, P. 1998. Representing twentieth century space-time climate variability. Part II: Development of 1901-1996 monthly grids. *J. Climate* 13: 2217-2238.
- Vörösmarty, C.J., Brunner, J., Revenga, C., Fekete, B., Green, P., Kura, Y. and Thompson, K. 2004. Case studies: Population and climate. In: Kabat, P., Claussen, M., Dirmeyer, P.A., Gash, J.H.C., Bravo de Guenni, L., Meybeck, M., Pielke Sr., R.A., Vörösmarty, C.J., Hutjes, R.W.A. and Lutkemeier, S. (eds), *Vegetation, Water, Humans and the Climate*. Springer, Heidelberg, Germany.
- 30. Siebert S., Döll, P. and Hoogeveen, J. 2002. *Global map of irrigated areas version 2.1* Center for Environmental Systems Research, University of Kassel, Germany / Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy.
- Fekete, B.M., Vörösmarty, C.J. and Lammers, R.B. 2001. Scaling gridded river networks for macroscale hydrology: Development, analysis, and control of error. *Water Resources Research* 37:7 1955-1967.
- Willmott, C.J., and Feddema, J.J. 1992. A more rational climatic moisture index. *Prof. Geographer* 44: 84-87.

- 33. Shuttleworth, W.J. and Wallace, J.S. 1985. Evaporation from sparse crops: an energy combination theory, *Quarterly J. R. Meteorol. Soc.* 111: 839-855.
- Falkenmark, M. 1998. Dilemma when entering the 21st century rapid change but lack of a sense of urgency. *Water Policy* 1: 421-36.
- Meybeck, M., Ragu, A. 1996. GEMS/Water Contribution to the Global Register of River Inputs. GEMS/Water Programme (UNEP/WHO/UNESCO). World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland.
- 36. World Health Organization and United Nations Children's Fund 2000. Global water supply and sanitation assessment 2000 report, World Health Organization, http://www.who.int/water_sanitation_health/Globassessment/GlobalTOC.htm.
- Palmer ,T.N. and Ralsanen, J. 2002. Quantifying the risk of extreme seasonal precipitation events in a changing climate. *Nature* 415: 512-514.
- WHO/UNICEF 2000. Global Water Supply and Sanitation Assessment 2000 Report.
 World Health Organization/UN Children's Fund, New York.
- UN-HABITAT 2003. Water and Sanitation in the World's Cities: Local Action for Global Goals. UN Human Settlements Programme. Earthscan, London.
- 40. Wolf, A., Natharius, J., Danielson, J., Ward, B. and Pender, J. 1999. International river basins of the world. *Int'l J. Water Resources Development* 15: 387-427.
- 41. Furlong, K. and Gleditsch, N.P. 2003. The boundary dataset. *Conflict Management and Peace Science* 20: 93-117.
- 42. Miguel, E., Satyanath, S. and Sergenti, E. 2004. Economic shocks and civil conflict: An Instrumental variables Approach. *Journal of Political Economy* (in press).

- 43. Rijsberman, F. 2003. Water and Food. Presentation at the First Open Science Conference of the Global Water System Project (GWSP). 7-9 October. Portsmouth, NH.
- Gleick, P.H. 1998. The World's Water: The Biennial Report on Freshwater Resources (1998-99). Island Press, Washington, DC.
- 45. Revenga, C., Brunner, J., Henninger, N., Kassem, K. and Payne, R. 2000. *Pilot Analysis* of *Global Ecosystems: Freshwater Systems*. World Resources Institute, Washington, DC.
- 46. Smakhtin, V., Revenga, C. and Döll, P. 2004. *Taking into Account Environmental Water Requirements in Global-Scale Water Resources Assessment*. Comprehensive Assessment Research Report 2. Comprehensive Assessment Secretariat, Colombo, Sri Lanka.
- IFRCRCS. 2000. World Disaster Report. Int'l Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. Geneva, Switzerland.
- Dobson, J.E., Bright, E.A., Coleman, P.R., Durfee, R.C. and Worley, B.A. 2000. A global population database for estimating population at risk. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing* 66: 849-57.
- 49. Sebastian, K., Douglas, E., Wood, S. and Vörösmarty, C.J. 2003. *Historic and Projected Land Cover Scenarios for Exploring Biodiversity and Watershed Function Linkages*.
 Final Technical Report to the World Bank, Development Research Group, Functional Value of Biodiversity: Phase II Project. IFPRI, UNH, Washington, DC, Durham, NH.

FIGURE LEGENDS

- Figure 1. Overall calculation scheme for key water stress indicators with sample application to a hypothetical gridded drainage basin/river corridor system. All computations are made on ≈ 8 km grid cells (6' latitude x longitude).
- **Figure 2a.** Distribution of climate and relative dryness, expressed as classes of the Climate Moisture Index (*CMI*) (32). Widespread, negative CMI values across Africa show potential evapotranspiration in excess of precipitation and thus the potential for climate-based water scarcity for resident populations. Inset shows a large fraction of area (*line*) and total population (*bars*) in arid and semi-arid climate zones.
- **Figure 2b.** The coefficient of variation (CV) in *CMI*. The shading denotes degrees of variability and applies to zones of both positive and negative *CMI*. High variability and sharp spatial gradients are noted in the transition zones between the humid and arid regions over a 35-year time series. The bar chart indicates distribution of population with respect to *CMI* classes and levels of interannual variability. Arid zone populations show uniformly low CV while populations in semi-arid to humid regions show a distribution of variability.
- Figure 2c. Discharge fields representing accumulated runoff at ≈ 8 km resolution. Spatial aggregation of runoff reveals the importance of river corridor flow (Q_c). For much of the continent, however, local runoff serves as a primary source of renewable water supply. The inset shows that the vast majority of the African population is highly dependent on intermediate to limited levels of supply, with 3% showing no discernible quantity of renewable resource.
- **Figure 2d.** The CV of discharge, Q_c. The pattern bears similarity to the variation in *CMI*, but dampened due to the integrating effect of runoff coalesced as discharge in river corridors. Nonetheless, the transition zones between the humid and arid zones once again show generally high variability. The bar chart shows the high degree of variability in the major water sources across Africa (i.e. limited and intermediate classes of Q_c). A 35-year time series was analyzed.
- **Figure 2e.** Ratios of the monthly maximum-to-minimum discharge. Geographic patterns are defined by the distribution of climate and its seasonality, the size distribution of river corridors, and river regulation. Local runoff shows typically large extremes, while major rivers generally show dampened ranges. The inset shows the high degree of seasonal variation experienced by the bulk of African population.
- **Figure 3.** The density of human population living above (*red*) or below (*blue*) the relative water use threshold of 40%, presumed to indicate severe stress (34), under the 30-year recurrence drought. Three examples of the sensitivity in regions located in spatially-complex transitional zones between arid/semi-arid and humid climates are shown.

Figure 4. Signature of aggregate water use relative to available discharge along two major river corridors under normal and 30-year drought conditions. A value of 1.0 indicates complete reuse of river water equivalent to discharge over an entire year. Increases and decreases arise from contrasting rates of water usage relative to inflow and dilution from less exploited tributaries.

Table 1. The total area in Africa and the globally at different levels of the Climatic Moisture Index (32). CMI here represents annual conditions, derived from a time series spanning 1960-1995.

Climate Moisture Index	Africa Cumulative <u>Area (Mkm²)</u>	Globe Cumulative <u>Area (Mkm²)</u>	Africa Cumulative <u>% Area</u>	Globe Cumulative <u>%Area</u>
-1.00 to -0.75	11.8	30.3	39	23
-0.75 to -0.50	17.4	46.6	58	35
-0.50 to -0.25	21.0	59.8	70	45
-0.25 to -0.10	23.0	67.7	77	51
-0.10 to +0.10	25.7	79.2	86	60
+0.10 to +0.25	27.4	89.7	92	67
+0.25 to +0.50	29.6	109	99	82
+0.50 to +0.75	30.0	126	100	95
+0.75 to +1.00	30.0	133	100	100

Table 2. Distribution of total agricultural land area, % irrigated area, and total irrigation withdrawals distributed by Climate Moisture Index (CMI) class. River corridor discharge is at mouth of river (27), classified by CMI, to demonstrate the reconditioning of local runoff as horizontally transported discharge.

Climate Moisture Index	Local Runoff (km ³ yr ⁻¹)	River Corridor Discharge ¹ (km ³ yr ⁻¹)	Agricultural Area (1000 km ²)	Fraction Irrigated Area (%)	Irrigation Withdrawals <u>km³ yr⁻¹</u>
-1.0 to -0.8	6	101	74	97	43
-0.8 to -0.6	84	99	310	16	20
-0.6 to -0.4	287	145	278	10	8
-0.4 to -0.2	591	192	313	5	3
-0.2 to 0.0	932	1646	282	2	1
0.0 to +0.2	864	139	205	3	0.8
+0.2 to +0.4	996	297	119	6	0.6
+0.4 to +0.6	908	601	91	5	0.4
+0.6 to +0.8	99	563	9	1	0
+0.8 to +1.0	0	0	0	0	0

¹ Entry represents the accumulation of runoff through river corridors plus the additional impact of irrigation consumptive losses and natural flow depletion (through open water evaporation, floodplain evapotranspiration, recharge into streambeds).

Table 3. Populations in Africa living under progressive levels or water stress under different return intervals for low flow, a measure of hydrologic drought. The 30-year interval is the most extreme and high levels of stress (DIA/Q > 0.4) are evident. The totals for the 30-year recurrence interval correspond to the ca. 8km pixels shown in **Figure 3**.

	Population (millions)				
	Recurrence Interval				
DIA/Q Class (mm yr ⁻¹)	<u>2-yr (mean)</u>	10-year	20-year	<u>30-year</u>	
Low (< 0.1)	477	420	405	385	
Moderate (0.1 to 0.2)	23	21	22	26	
Medium-high (0.2 to 0.4)	16	17	18	19	
High (> 0.4)	174	232	247	262	









Distance to River Mouth (km)